

THE GALOIS CLOSURE OF THE GARCIA-STICHTENOTH TOWER

ALEXEY ZAYTSEV

ABSTRACT. We describe the Galois closure of the Garcia-Stichtenoth tower and prove that it is optimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1996 Garcia and Stichtenoth constructed in [1] a tower of Artin-Schreier covers

$$\dots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

which are defined over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and given by a simple recursive equation such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(X_n)/g(X_n) = q - 1,$$

where $N(X_n)$ is the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -rational points and $g(X_n)$ is the genus of X_n . In this note we construct the Galois closure of this tower, i.e. the tower of covers

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{X}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

such that $\tilde{X}_i$ is the Galois closure of the cover $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. We give explicit formulas of the genus, estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -rational points of the curve $\tilde{X}_i$ and show that the tower is optimal as well, i.e., it reaches the Drinfeld-Vlăduț upper-bound.

2. GENERATORS FOR THE GALOIS CLOSURE

Let p be an odd prime number and $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ be a finite field of cardinality p^2 . Garcia and Stichtenoth described in [1] a tower of curves or function fields over K by defining recursively fields $T_m := K(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with x_{i+1} satisfying the equation

$$x_{i+1}^p + x_{i+1} = x_i^{p+1}/(x_i^p + x_i). \quad (1)$$

We shall write $\wp(x)$ for the expression $x^p + x$ and we let g be the rational function $x^{p+1}/(x^p + x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$. Furthermore, we set $h = (x^{p-1} - 1)/(x^{p-1} + 1) \in \mathbb{F}_p(x)$. Then T_n is obtained from T_{n-1} by adjoining a root $y = x_n$ of the equation $\wp(y) = g(x_{n-1})$. We let $\tilde{T}_n$ be the Galois closure of T_n over T_1 and Γ_n the Galois group of $\tilde{T}_n$ over T_1 .

We set $K_- = \{\alpha \in K : \alpha^p = -\alpha\}$. If $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in K_-^n$ (for $n \geq 2$) then we denote by u_c a root of

$$f_c := X^p + X - g(u_{c'} + c_n),$$

where c' is the shortened vector $c' = (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ and for $n = 1$, the element u_{c_1} is a root of polynomial

$$f_{c_1} := X^p + X - g(x_2 + c_1).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H25, 11R58.

We make the generators more precise in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For $n \geq 3$ the field $\tilde{T}_n$ is generated over $\tilde{T}_{n-1}$ by adjoining all the elements u_c with $c \in K_-^{n-2}$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . If $n = 3$ then the field $\tilde{T}_3$ is the composite of the fields $\tilde{T}_2(\sigma(x_3))$ with σ running through Γ_3 . By applying σ to (1) one sees that $\sigma(x_2) = x_2 + c_1$ for some $c_1 \in K_-$. Similarly, one observes that $\wp(x_3) = g(x_2 + c_1)$, i.e., $\sigma(x_3) = u_{c_1} + c_2$ for some c_1 and c_2 from K_- . So one gets $\tilde{T}_3 = T_2(u_c : c \in K_-)$. In general, the field $\tilde{T}_{n+1}$ is the composite of the fields $\sigma(T_{n+1})$ with $\sigma \in \Gamma_{n+1}$ and $\sigma(T_{n+1})$ is contained in the field $\sigma(\tilde{T}_n(x_{n+1})) = \tilde{T}_n(\sigma(x_{n+1}))$. Again, by applying repeatedly σ to (1) one sees $\wp(\sigma(x_{n+1})) = g(u_{c'} + c_n)$ for some $c' \in K_-^{n-1}$ and $c_n \in K_-$. $\square$

Now we shall see that we can restrict to a certain subset of the u_c , namely those for which $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{n-2})$ with $c_{n-2} \in \{0, b\}$ for a fixed element $b \neq 0$ of K_- . For this we note that $\tilde{T}_3 = T_3(u_c)$ for any non-zero c in K_- . Indeed, given such c we have the identity

$$\wp(u_c - x_3 + c^2/x_1) = c \frac{x_2^{p-1} - 1}{x_2^{p-1} + 1}$$

which follows directly from writing out the left hand side. This implies that

$$cu_b - bu_c = (c - b)x_3 + (bc^2 - b^2c)/x_1 + \delta_{c,b}$$

for some $\delta_{c,b} \in K_-$. In general, if for $c' = (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ and $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, \xi)$ we write $u_{c',\xi}$ for u_c , we have by a similar argument for $c \in K_-^{n-1}$

$$\alpha u_{c',\beta} - \beta u_{c',\alpha} = (\alpha - \beta)u_{c',0} + (\beta\alpha^2 - \alpha\beta^2)/(u_{c'+\alpha_{n-1}}) + \eta_{\alpha,\beta}$$

for some $\eta_{\alpha,\beta} \in K_-$ and hence for a fixed $\beta \in K_-$ with $\beta \neq 0$ we get $\tilde{T}_{n+2} = \tilde{T}_{n+1}(u_{c,\xi} : c \in K_-^{n-1}, \xi \in \{0, \beta\})$. We conclude:

Proposition 2.2. *Let β be a non-zero element of K_- . The field $\tilde{T}_{n+2}$ is generated over T_1 by the set of elements $\{u_{c,\xi} : c \in K_-^{n-1}, \xi \in \{0, \beta\}\}$.*

In the following we shall also need the following formulas (where we recall that $\wp(x) = x^p + x$ and $h(x) = (x^{p-1} - 1)/(x^{p-1} + 1)$).

Lemma 2.3. *For all α, α_1 in K_- and $c \in K_-^{n-2}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ we have*

- (1) $\wp(u_\alpha - x_3 + \alpha^2/x_1) = \alpha h(x_2)$,
- (2) $\wp(u_{\alpha_1, \alpha} - u_{\alpha, 0} + \alpha^2/(x_2 + \alpha_1)) = \alpha h(u_{\alpha_1})$,
- (3) $\wp(u_{c, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha} - u_{c, \alpha_{k-1}, 0} + \alpha^2/(u_c + \alpha_{k-1})) = \alpha h(u_{c, \alpha_{k-1}})$.

Proof. This can be proved by direct calculation. As an example we prove the second relation by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(u_{\alpha_1, \alpha}) &= g(u_{\alpha_1} + \alpha) = g(u_{\alpha_1}) + \alpha h(u_{\alpha_1}) - \alpha^2 1/\wp(u_{\alpha_1}) \\ &= \wp(u_{\alpha_1, 0}) + \alpha h(u_{\alpha_1}) - \alpha^2 \wp(1/(x_2 + \alpha_1)) \end{aligned}$$

and observing that $\wp$ is additive. $\square$

3. SPLITTING POINTS

Let X_n (resp. $\tilde{X}_n$) be the irreducible complete smooth algebraic curve defined over K by the function field T_n (resp. $\tilde{T}_n$). Note that X_1 is the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$. Here we prove that all the points of the affine line with coordinates not in K_- split completely. At this moment we shall use notations π_n and $\tilde{\pi}_n$ for the coverings $X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\tilde{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ respectively.

Proposition 3.1. *Every K -rational point of the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 = X_1$ with coordinate not in K_- splits completely in the tower $\tilde{X}_n$.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{T}_n$ is obtained by adjoining successively the elements u_c for $c \in K_-^{n-2}$ to $\tilde{T}_{n-1}$ we start with a K -rational point $P = P_1$ not in K_- and consider the behavior of points lying over P in these successive extensions.

Let $P = P_1$ be a point of the affine x_1 -line with coordinate ξ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus K_-$. Let Nm and Tr denote the trace from $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$. By the identity

$$\frac{\xi^{p+1}}{\xi^p + \xi} = \frac{\text{Nm}(\xi)}{\text{Tr}(\xi)} \quad (2)$$

it is clear that this expression lies in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and it is immediate that P splits completely in the field extension T_2/T_1 given by adjoining a root of $Y^p + Y = \text{Nm}(\xi)/\text{Tr}(\xi)$ and the x_2 -coordinate of any point P_2 over P has coordinate η in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus K_-$. So we can repeat the argument and see that P_2 splits completely in the extension T_3/T_2 . Since for $c \in K_-$ u_c is a root of $X^p + X = \text{Nm}(\eta + a)/\text{Tr}(\eta)$ and the right hand side lies in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ we see again that P splits completely in $\tilde{T}_3$. For the general step we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Q be a point on $\tilde{X}_n$ lying over $P \in \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \setminus \mathbb{A}^1(K_-)$. Then for $n \geq 3$, any $c \in K_-^{n-2}$ and $\alpha \in K_-$ the value $u_c(Q)$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus K_-$ the polynomial*

$$X^p + X - \frac{(u_c + \alpha)^{p+1}}{u_c^p + u_c}$$

splits completely into linear factors over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ at the point Q .

Proof. We use induction on n starting with $n = 3$. For $n = 3$ relation (2) shows that $g(x_2 + \alpha)(Q)$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and hence $u_a(Q) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus K_-$ for any $\alpha \in K_-$. We denote by Q_{n-1} the image of Q on $\tilde{X}_{n-1}$. Assume now that $u_c(Q_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus K_-$ for an arbitrary $c \in K_-^{n-3}$. So for any $\alpha \in K_-$ the expression $\text{Nm}(u_c + \alpha)/\text{Tr}(u_c^p + u_c)$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$ -valued and does not vanish in Q_{n-1} . But that implies that our polynomial $f_{c,\alpha}$ evaluated at Q_{n-1} factors linearly and has no roots in K_- . It follows that $u_{c,\alpha}(Q) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus K_-$. $\square$

$\square$

Corollary 3.3. *The finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ is the full constant field of the function field of the curve $\tilde{X}_n$.*

Corollary 3.4. *The curve $\tilde{X}_n$ has at least $(p^2 - p)[\tilde{T}_n : T_1]$ $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational points.*

4. RAMIFICATION OVER ZERO

In this section we calculate the contribution to the different of the ramifying points of $\tilde{X}_n$ which lie over the point P_0 of X_1 given by $x_1 = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n \geq 4$. There exists points $Q_i \in \tilde{X}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that Q_{i+1} lies over Q_i and such that Q_3 is unramified over Q_1 and such that for $i \geq 3$ the point Q_{i+1} ramifies over Q_i with ramification index $e = p$ and different degree $d = 2(p - 1)$.*

Proof. For the proof we first observe that if $Q'_3 \in X_3(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ is the point of X_3 defined by $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ then $Q'_3|Q_1$ is unramified as follows from [2]. Moreover, $\tilde{T}_3$ can be generated over T_3 by adjoining a root of $T^p + T = ch(x_2)$ for an arbitrary $c \in K_-^*$. The right-hand side of this has value $-c$ in Q'_3 , so Q'_3 is inert giving a point Q_3 on $\tilde{X}_3$. We also observe for later use that for any $c \in K_-$ the function $u_c + c^2/x_1$ is regular at Q_3 .

The proof of the proposition is now by induction starting with the case $n = 3$ just settled. We assume having established the existence of a point Q_{n+2} on $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ satisfying the following properties $P(n+2)$. We shall denote the zero vector with i coordinates by 0^i .

Property 4.2. We say that a point P of the curve $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ has property $P(n+2)$ if the following conditions hold

- (1) The point P is a zero of the functions $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$.
- (2) For any $\alpha \in K_-^*$ and non-zero $c \in K_-^n$ function u_c has a pole at the point P and this pole is simple if $c = (\alpha, 0^{n-1})$.
- (3) For any $\alpha, \beta \in K_-^*$ and $c \in K_-^{n-1}$, the function $u_{\beta, c} - \beta^2/\alpha^2 u_{\alpha, 0^{n-1}}$ is regular at P .
- (4) For any $m \geq 2$ and any element $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in K_-^* \times K_-^{m-1}$ the function $u_{0^{n-m}, c} - u_{0^{n-m-1}, c_1, 0^{m-2}}$ is regular at P .
- (5) The function $u_c + c_n^2/x_n$ is regular at P where $c = (0, \dots, 0, c_n) \in K_-^n$.

We construct the field extension $\tilde{T}_{n+3}$ of $\tilde{T}_{n+2}$ by successively adjoining elements u_c with $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}) \in K_-^{n+1}$ and we analyze when we get contribution to the different from ramification. For a convenience analysis we separate our indices into the following sorts:

- (1) $c_1 = \dots = c_{n+1} = 0$,
- (2) $c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0, c_{n+1} \neq 0$,
- (3) $c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = \dots = c_{n+1} = 0$,
- (4) $c_1 \neq 0, c_{n+1} = 0$,
- (5) $c_1 \neq 0, c_{n+1} \neq 0$,
- (6) $c_1 = \dots = c_s = 0, c_{s+1} \neq 0, c_{n+1} = 0, n+1-s \geq 2$ and $s \geq 1$,
- (7) $c_1 = \dots = c_s = 0, c_{s+1} \neq 0, c_{n+1} \neq 0, n+1-s \geq 2$ and $s \geq 1$,
- (8) $c_1 = 0 \dots = c_{n-1} = 0, c_n \neq 0$ and $c_{n+1} = 0$,
- (9) $c_1 = 0 \dots = c_{n-1} = 0, c_n \neq 0$ and $c_{n+1} \neq 0$;

and adjoin successively elements u_c with $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$ of these types.

We shall show that only elements of type 3) contribute to the different. We select the generators and their polynomials at each stage such that we are able to apply Artin-Schreier reduction([4] Proposition 3.1.10 p.64) or Kummer's theorem([3] Theorem III.3.7 p.76). As is well-known a polynomial of the form $T^p + T + w \in F[T]$,

with F a field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, is either irreducible or splits into linear factors. If such a polynomial is reducible, then adjoining a root will not extend the field, but this will not lead to confusion.

If we adjoin an element of type 1) then we get a function field $F_1 = \tilde{T}_{n+2}(u_c)$ with $c = (0, \dots, 0)$ and this extension is actually generated by an element x_{n+3} satisfying an equation $\wp(x_{n+3}) = g(x_{n+2})$. Now observe that $g(x_{n+2})$ vanishes at Q_{n+2} , so Q_{n+2} splits in this extension giving us a point $Q_{n+2,1}$ on the corresponding curve, such that x_{n+3} vanishes at $Q_{n+2,1}$. (In the next section we shall show that the polynomial $\wp(X) - g(x_{n+2}) \in \tilde{T}_{n+2}[X]$ is in fact irreducible.)

Next we treat the case (2), where we adjoin a root of u_c of $\wp(u_c - x_{n+3} + c_{n+1}^2/x_{n+1}) = c_{n+1} h(x_{n+2})$ for $c = (0, \dots, 0, c_{n+1})$ with $c_{n+1} \neq 0$. Now $h(x_{n+2})$ assumes the value -1 at $Q_{n+2,1}$. So in this extension $F_1(u_c)$ the point $Q_{n+2,1}$ does not ramify, giving us the point $Q'_{n+2,1}$ such that $u_c + c_{n+1}^2/x_{n+1}$ is a regular function at the point $Q'_{n+2,1}$.

If we adjoin repeatedly such elements u_c with c of type 2) then Abhyankar's lemma(cf., [3] Prop. III.8.9) implies that in the composite field $F_2 := F_1(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 2}\})$ there is a point $Q_{n+2,2}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,1}$ with $e(Q_{n+2,2}|Q_{n+2,1}) = 1$ and the functions $u_c + c_{n+1}^2/x_{n+1}$ are regular at the point $Q_{n+2,2}$ for any c of type 2).

For the rest of the proof we fix an element $\alpha \in K_-^*$. Now let F_3 be the field obtained by adjoining to F_2 one element u_c with $c_1 = \alpha$ and $c_2 = \dots = c_{n+1} = 0$. Then the defining equation for this field extension is $f_c = 0$. Since we know that $g(u_c)$ has a simple pole at $Q_{n+2,2}$ the point $Q_{n+2,2}$ ramifies giving one point $Q_{n+2,3}$ with contribution $2(p-1)$ to the different and the function $u_{\alpha,0^n}$ has a simple pole at point $Q_{n+2,3}$.

If we adjoin an element of type 4) then combining the two relations $\wp(u_c) = u_{c'} + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{c'})$ and $\wp(u_{\alpha,0^n}) = u_{\alpha,0^{n-1}} + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{\alpha,0^{n-1}})$ at the point $Q_{n+2,3}$, we see that the point $Q_{n+2,3}$ does not ramify and gives a point $Q'_{n+2,3}$ such that the function $u_c - c_1^2/\alpha^2 u_{\alpha,0^n}$ is regular at the point $Q'_{n+2,3}$. (Here and in the following the symbol $\mathcal{O}(t)$ at a point P means a function of the form ut with u is a regular function at P).

If we adjoin repeatedly such elements u_c with c of type 4), then Abhyankar's lemma implies that in the composite field $F_4 := F_3(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 4}\})$ there is a point $Q_{n+2,4}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,3}$ with $e(Q_{n+2,4}|Q_{n+2,3}) = 1$ and such that $u_c - c_1^2/\alpha^2 u_{\alpha,0^n}$ is the regular function at the point $Q_{n+2,4}$ for any element c of type 4).

Next we are going to adjoin the elements of type 5). Observe that $h(u_{c'})$ has value 1 at the point $Q_{n+2,4}$. Therefore in view of the third relation in Lemma 2.3, we obtain that the point $Q_{n+2,4}$ does not ramify, giving us a point $Q'_{n+2,4}$ on the corresponding curve such that the function $u_c - c_1^2/\alpha^2 u_{\alpha,0^n}$ is regular at the point $Q'_{n+2,4}$.

If we adjoin repeatedly such elements u_c with c of type 5) then Abhyankar's lemma implies that in the composite field $F_5 := F_4(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 5}\})$ there is a point $Q_{n+2,5}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,4}$ with $e(Q_{n+2,5}|Q_{n+2,4}) = 1$ and such that the functions $u_c - c_1^2/\alpha^2 u_{\alpha,0^n}$ are regular at the point $Q_{n+2,5}$.

If we adjoin an element of type 6), then we write $\wp(u_c) = u_{c'} + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{c'})$ and $\wp(u_{0^s, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s}}) = u_{0^{s-1}, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s-1}} + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{0^{s-1}, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s-1}})$ at the point $Q_{n+2,5}$, to get a point $Q'_{n+2,5}$ that lies over $Q_{n+2,5}$ with $e(Q'_{n+2,5}|Q_{n+2,5}) = 1$ and such that the function $u_c - u_{0^s, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s}}$ is regular at the point $Q'_{n+2,5}$.

In case we adjoin repeatedly such elements u_c with c of type 6), Abhyankar's lemma implies that in the composite field $F_6 := F_5(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 6}\})$ there is a point $Q_{n+2,6}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,5}$ with $e(Q_{n+2,6}|Q_{n+2,5}) = 1$ and such that the functions $u_c - u_{0^s, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s}}$ are regular at the point $Q_{n+2,6}$.

If we adjoin an element u_c with c of type 7), then in view of relation 3) of Lemma 2.3 and such that the value of $h(u_{c'})$ equals 1 at the point $Q_{n+2,6}$ we get a point $Q'_{n+2,6}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,6}$ such that $e(Q'_{n+2,6}|Q_{n+2,6}) = 1$ and the function $u_c - u_{c',0}$ is regular at the point $Q'_{n+2,6}$, so $u_c - u_{0^s, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s}}$ is also a regular function at the point $Q'_{n+2,6}$. Now Abhyankar's lemma applied to the composite field $F_7 = F_6(\{u_c : \text{of type 7}\})$ shows that there exists a point $Q_{n+2,7}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,6}$ such that $e(Q_{n+2,7}|Q_{n+2,6}) = 1$ and such that the function $u_c - u_{c',0}$ is regular at the point $Q_{n+2,7}$, hence $u_c - u_{0^s, c_{s+1}, 0^{n-s}}$ is regular at the point $Q_{n+2,7}$.

The last two steps differ little from the previous ones. First we adjoin an element u_c with c of type 8). The relation $\wp(u_c + c_n^2/x_{n-1}) = u_{c'} + c_n^2/x_n + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{c'}) + \mathcal{O}(x_n)$ at the point $Q_{n+2,7}$, implies that $Q_{n+2,7}$ does not ramify, giving us a point $Q'_{n+2,7}$ on the corresponding curve such that the function $u_c + c_n^2/x_{n-1}$ is regular at the point $Q'_{n+2,7}$ and hence $u_c - u_{0^{n-2}, c_n}$ is also regular. Applying Abhyankar's lemma to the composite field $F_8 = F_7(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 8}\})$ we see that there is a point $Q_{n+2,8}$ lying over $Q_{n+2,7}$ with $e(Q_{n+2,8}|Q_{n+2,7}) = 1$ and such that the functions $u_c - u_{0^{n-1}, c_n}$ are regular at the point $Q_{n+2,8}$.

Finally we adjoin the elements u_c , where c is type 9). Since the function $\wp(u_c - u_{0^{n-1}, c_n, 0} + c_{n+1}^2/(x_{n+1} + c_n))$ is regular at the point $Q_{n+2,8}$ (the value $h(u_{0^n, c_n})$ is 1 at this point) we see that the point $Q'_{n+2,8}$ does not ramify with $u_c - u_{0^{n-1}, c_n, 0}$ being a regular function at the point $Q'_{n+2,8}$ and hence $u_c - u_{0^{n-2}, c_n}$ is regular as well. Abhyankar's lemma applied to the composite field $F_9 = F_8(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 9}\})$ shows the existence of a point $Q_{n+2,9}$ (which is now called Q_{n+3}), such that $e(Q_{n+2,9}|Q_{n+2,8}) = 1$ and the functions $u_c - u_{0^{n-2}, c_n}$ are regular at the point $Q_{n+2,9} = Q_{n+3}$.

So we conclude that $F_9 = \tilde{T}_{n+3}$ and our proof is finished but for the remark that Property (2) of $P(n+3)$ holds because the function u_c has a pole at Q_{n+3} for non-zero c by the induction hypothesis and since function $\wp(u_{c', c_{n+1}})$ has a pole at Q_{n+3} .

□

Since $\tilde{X}_n$ is a Galois covering of X_1 , for calculating the contribution to the ramification divisor of all points lying over P_0 it suffices to calculate the contribution of one such point. This contribution was calculated in Proposition 4.1. Collecting results we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *For $n \geq 4$ let D_n be the divisor on the curve $\tilde{X}_n$ such that $v_P(D_n) = v_P(\text{Diff}(\tilde{T}_n/T_1))$ and $P \cap T_1 = P_0$ for any $P \in \text{Supp}(D_n)$. Then we have*

$$\deg(D_n) = 2 \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)(1 - p^{3-n}).$$

Proof. For any point Q on curve $\tilde{X}_n$ lying over P_0 , we have $d(Q|P_0) = d(Q_n|P_0)$ with Q_n the point mentioned in Proposition 4.1. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(D_n) &= (1 + \dots + p^{n-4})2(p-1)\#\{Q \in \tilde{X}_n : Q|P_0\} \deg(Q_n) \\ &= 2 \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)(1 - p^{3-n}). \end{aligned}$$

□

5. THE OTHER RATIONAL POINTS

In this section we calculate the contribution to the different of the ramifying points of $\tilde{X}_n$ which lie over the point P_1 equal to ∞ or $a \in K_-^*$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$. These two kinds of points have the same behavior.

Proposition 5.1. *Let P_1 be a rational point on $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ with coordinate $a \in K_-^* \cup \infty$. Then there exists points P_i on $\tilde{X}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that P_{i+1} lies over P_i and such that the point P_{i+1} ramifies over P_i with ramification index $e = p$ and different degree $d = 2(p - 1)$.*

Proof. In view of the fact that the function $g(x_1)$ has a simple pole at P_1 we get that P_1 ramifies, giving us a point P_2 on curve X_2 with $d(P_2|P_1) = 2(p - 1)$ and such that the function x_2 has a simple pole at P_2 . Therefore the function $g(x_2)$ again has a simple pole at the point P_2 and the point P_2 ramifies, yielding a point Q on curve X_3 with $d(Q|P_2) = 2(p - 1)$ and such that the function x_3 has a simple pole at Q . Now to reach the curve $\tilde{X}_3$ we shall adjoin an element u_c with $c \in K_-^*$; in this case the function $h(x_2)$ has the value 1 at the point Q , so the point Q does not ramify, leading us to a point P_3 on curve $\tilde{X}_3$ and such that the function $u_c - x_3$ is regular, hence the function u_c has a simple pole at the point P_3 .

The proof of the proposition is analogous to that of Proposition 4.1, starting with case $n \leq 3$ just settled. We distinguish various cases depending on $c \in K_-^{n+1}$ and the property $P(n)$ is replaced by the Property $S(n)$ below. We construct the field extension $\tilde{T}_{n+3}$ over $\tilde{T}_{n+2}$ by successively adjoining elements u_c with $c \in K_-^{n+1}$. Like in the previous section we distinguish several cases:

- (1) $c_1 = \dots = c_{n+1} = 0$,
- (2) $c = (c', 0)$, with $c' \in K_-^n$,
- (3) $c = (c', c_{n+1})$, with $c' \in K_-^n$ and $c_{n+1} \in K_-^*$.

Property 5.2. We say that a point P of the curve $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ has property $S(n + 2)$ if the following conditions hold

- (1) The function u_c has a simple pole at the point P for any $c \in K_-^n$.
- (2) The function $u_c - u_{0^n}$ is regular at the point P for any $c \in K_-^n$.

If we adjoin an element of type (1) then we obtain a function field $F_1 := \tilde{T}_{n+2}(u_c)$. Now observe that the function has a simple pole at the point P_n , therefore the polynomial $\wp(X) - g(x_{n+2}) \in \tilde{T}_{n+2}[X]$ is irreducible and P_{n+2} ramifies, and provides us with a point $P_{n+2,1}$ on the corresponding curve such that the function u_c has a simple pole at $P_{n+2,1}$. (In particular, we have proved irreducibility of the polynomial $\wp(X) - g(x_{n+2})$ over $\tilde{T}_{n+2}$ as we promised in the previous section.)

Next we treat the case 2) and adjoin u_c , which is a root of $f_c = 0$. If we combine the two relations $\wp(u_c) = u_{c'} + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{c'})$ and $\wp(u_{0^{n+1}}) = u_{0^n} + \mathcal{O}(1/u_{0^n})$ at the point $P_{n+2,1}$ then we obtain that $P_{n+2,1}$ does not ramify, yielding a point $P'_{n+2,1}$ such that the function $u_c - u_{0^{n+1}}$ is regular at the point $P'_{n+2,1}$. If we adjoin repeatedly such elements u_c with c of type 2) then Abhyankar's lemma implies that in the composite field $F_2 := F_1(\{u_c : c \text{ of type 2}\})$ there is a point $P_{n+2,2}$ lying over $P_{n+2,1}$ with $e(P_{n+2,2}|P_{n+2,1}) = 1$ and such that the functions $u_c - u_{0^{n+1}}$ are regular at the point $P_{n+2,2}$.

If we adjoin an element u_c with c of type 3), then in view of relation 3) of Lemma 2.3 and the fact that the value of $h(u_{c'})$ equals 1 at the point $P_{n+2,2}$ we get a point

$P'_{n+2,2}$ lying over $P_{n+2,2}$ such that $e(P'_{n+2,2}|P_{n+2,2}) = 1$ and such that the function $u_c - u_{c',0}$ is regular at the point $P'_{n+2,2}$; therefore $u_c - u_{0^{n+1}}$ is also a regular function at the point $P'_{n+2,2}$. Finally, Abhyankar's lemma applied to the composite field $F_3 = F_2(\{u_c : \text{of type 3}\})$ shows that there exists a point $P_{n+2,3}$ (which we call P_{n+3}) lying over $P'_{n+2,2}$ such that $e(P_{n+2,3}|P'_{n+2,2}) = 1$ and the functions $u_c - u_{c',0}$ are regular at the point $P_{n+2,3}$; hence $u_c - u_{0^{n+1}}$ is regular at the point $P_{n+2,3}$ for all such c . To finish our proof, we remark that the first condition of Property (5.2) follows from the strict triangle inequality for discrete valuations applied to the relation $\wp(u_c) = g(u_{c'} + c_{n+1})$. $\square$

Since $\tilde{X}_n$ is a Galois covering of X_1 , for calculating the contribution to the ramification divisor of all points lying over P_1 it suffices to calculate the contribution of one such point. This contribution was calculated in Proposition 5.1. We thus obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *For $n \geq 5$ let L_n be the divisor on the curve $\tilde{X}_n$ such that $v_P(L_n) = v_P(\text{Diff}(\tilde{T}_n/T_1))$ and $P \cap T_1$ is the point P_1 for any $P \in \text{Supp}(L_n)$. Then we have*

$$\deg(D_n) = 2(p - p^{2-n}) \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n).$$

Proof. For any point P on curve $\tilde{X}_n$ lying over P_1 , then $d(P|P_1) = d(P_n|P_0)$. For the point P_n from Proposition 5.1 we have $d(P_n|P_1) = (1 + \dots + p^{n-4})2(p-1) + 2p^{n-3}(p^2-1)$. As a result we obtain

$$\deg(D_n) = \frac{\deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)}{e(P_1)f(P_1)} 2(p^{n-1} - 1) \deg(P_n) = \frac{2(p^{n-1} - 1)}{p^{n-2}} \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n).$$

$\square$

6. THE GENUS OF THE CURVES AND THE OPTIMALITY OF THE NEW SEQUENCE

In this section we shall show that our sequence of curves attains the Drinfeld-Vlăduț bound. We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\tilde{X}_n)/g(\tilde{X}_n) = p - 1$. Since we already estimated the number of rational point of the new curves, we only need to calculate the genus of these curves. We are going to show that the different of the covering $\tilde{X}_n$ over $\mathbb{P}_1$ is the sum of two divisors D_n and L_n described in section 4 and 5 respectively. After that the calculation of the genus is simple.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $n \geq 5$ we have that $\text{Diff}(\tilde{X}_n/\mathbb{P}^1) = D_n + L_n$ with the divisors D_n and L_n defined in sections 4 and 5, respectively.*

Proof. By Artin-Schreier reduction we see that if a point of the curve $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ contributes to the different of the covering $\tilde{X}_{n+3}/\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ then it is a pole of the function $g(u_a + a_{n+1})$ with $(a, a_{n+1}) \in K_-^{n+1}$. To find all these points we shall consider the divisors of the functions $u_a + a_n$ with $(a, a_{n+1}) \in K_-^{n+1}$. We need a lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let a point P of the curve $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ be either a pole or a zero of some function $u_a + a_n$ with $(a, a_{n+1}) \in K_-^{n+1}$. Then it lies over rational point on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with coordinate in $K_- \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . Let $n = 1$, i.e. we have a point P on the curve $\tilde{X}_3$ which is either a zero or a pole of the function $u_{a_1} + a_2$. In this case it has to be either a zero or a pole of the function $g(x_2 + a_1)$ and hence $P \in \text{supp}(x_2 + \gamma)$

for some $\gamma \in K_-$. Since $\wp(x_2 + \gamma) = g(x_1)$ we get that P is either a zero or a pole of the function $x_1 - \beta$ for some $\beta \in K_-$.

For the general case let P be a point of the curve $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ in $\text{supp}(u_a + a_{n+1})$ with $(a, a_{n+1}) \in K_-^{n+1}$. Then from the relation $\wp(u_a + a_{n+1}) = g(u_{a'+a_n})$ we get that P in $\text{supp}(u_{a'+a_n})$ as well. Using the induction hypothesis we obtain that P lies over some rational point on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with coordinate in $K_- \cup \{\infty\}$. $\square$

Now we show that if a point P of the curve $\tilde{X}_{n+2}$ contributes to the different $\text{Diff}(\tilde{X}_{n+3}/\tilde{X}_{n+2})$ then it is a pole of the function $g(u_a + a_{n+1})$ with $(a, a_{n+1}) \in K_-^{n+1}$ and hence it is either a pole or a zero of the function $u_a + a_{n+1}$. Therefore by Lemma 6.2 the point P lies over rational point on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with coordinate in $K_- \cup \{\infty\}$. Applying that $\text{Diff}(\tilde{X}_{n+3}/\mathbb{P}^1) = \text{Diff}(\tilde{X}_{n+3}/\tilde{X}_{n+2}) + (\pi_{n+3,n+2})_*(\text{Diff}(\tilde{X}_{n+2}/\mathbb{P}^1))$ with $\pi_{n+3,n+2} : \tilde{X}_{n+3} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{n+2}$ and the induction hypothesis we finish our proof. $\square$

Next we calculate the genus of the curve $\tilde{X}_n$.

Corollary 6.3. *For $n > 4$ the genus of the curve $\tilde{X}_n$ is given by the formula*

$$g(\tilde{X}_n) = \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)(p - p^{3-n} - p^{2-n}) + 1.$$

Proof. By the Hurwitz genus formula for the covering $\tilde{\pi}_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\tilde{T}_n) &= 1/2 \deg(\text{Diff}(\tilde{\pi}_n)) - \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n) + 1 \\ &= 1/2(\deg(D_n) + \deg(L_n)) - \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n) + 1 \\ &= \deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)(p - p^{3-n} - p^{2-n}) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Since we know the genus of the curve $\tilde{X}_n$ we can now present the main result of this article, namely that the new sequence of curves is optimal.

Theorem 6.4. *The sequence of curves $\{\tilde{X}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ attains the Drinfeld-Vlăduț upper-bound, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\tilde{X}_n)/g(\tilde{X}_n) = p - 1$.*

Proof. We have

$$\lambda(\{\tilde{X}_n\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\tilde{X}_n)}{g(\tilde{X}_n)} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)(p^2 - p)}{\deg(\tilde{\pi}_n)(p - p^{3-n} - p^{2-n}) + 1} = p - 1.$$

Therefore the Drinfeld-Vlăduț upper bound provides the equality. $\square$

At the end we would like to remark that the result can be generalized with p replaced by any power of an odd prime. For this one should use Kummer's theorem instead of Artin-Schreier reduction for proving that points do not contribute to the different. It is based on the fact that the polynomial $f_c(X)$, with p changed to q , gives us a separable polynomial under reduction at certain points, hence its irreducible factors also give us separable polynomials under reduction at such points. Therefore those points are unramified by Kummer's theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth: A tower of Artin-Schreier extensions of function fields attaining the Drinfeld-Vlăduț bound. *Invent. Math.* **121** p. 211-222 (1995).
- [2] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth: On the asymptotic behaviour of some tower of function fields over finite fields. *J. Number Theory* **61**, p. 248-273 (1996)
- [3] H. Stichtenoth: Algebraic Function Fields and Codes. Springer Universitext, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1993.

- [4] H. Niederreiter, C. Xing: Rational points on curves over finite fields: theory and applications. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

FACULTEIT WISKUNDE EN INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, PLANTAGE MUIDER-
GRACHT 24, 1018 TV AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: `azaitsev@science.uva.nl`